

Journal of social science and Humanities Research



www.researchub.org

An evaluation human resource improvement programs among elementary school teachers in Salmas

Rahim Dalai Mila 1, Hura Soodi 2

- ¹ BS student in Educational Sciences, Farhangian University, Salmas, Iran
- ² PhD student in educational management and lecturer at Farhangian University, Milani2020@gmail.com

Abstract

This study aimed to evaluate human resource improvement programs among elementary school teachers in Salmas. The research was applied in terms of objective and descriptive-survey in terms of data collection method. The statistical population included all elementary teachers (1150 teachers) of Salmas in the academic year 2018-19. A sample size of 285 subjects were selected by simple random sampling method and Morgan table. The criteria presented by Rao and Abraham (1990) were used to collect data and measure human resource improvement. The validity of the questionnaire was confirmed by the professors and experts and the reliability of the questionnaire was obtained For the current status indicator the improvement plan is 0.88 and for the importance index the improvement plan is 0.90 using Cronbach's alpha coefficient. Analysis of questionnaire data using SPSS Ver. 22 and paired t-test, independent t-test and one-way ANOVA showed that there was a significant difference between the existing indicators of improvement (teacher participation in improvement programs, need assessment, educational planning and implementation and evaluation) and the importance of improvement and the status quo was less significant. In addition, there was a significant difference between the opinions of the studied subjects regarding the status quo indicators and the importance of improvement programs in terms of age, but there was no significant difference in terms of gender and there was a significant difference in educational level other than status quo of implementation assessment.

Keywords:

Improvement, human resources, empowerment

*Corresponding author: Hura Soodi PhD student in educational management and lecturer at Farhangian University,

Email Address: Milani2020@gmail.com

Received 11 December 2020 / Accepted 03 February 2021 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24200/jsshr.vol9iss01pp54-68 2693-8464© Research Hub LLC. All rights reserved

1. Introduction

Among the necessary factors to present a product, work force as the most basic factor, plays a key role in the production of services and goods, so efficient workers can be considered the most important asset of an organization. Workers in today's world is the driving force of the organization and plays an important role in determining the fate of economic and social development of a country. Training and improving human resources allows people to adapt to performance requirements in accordance with the environment and organizational change, and increase theirefficiency.

To adapt to operational goals, environmental change, and organizational development, organizations continuously develop and improve the four variables of human resources: the use of new technologies, equipment, laws and regulations, and the cultural atmosphere of the organization (Baruch. 2003).

Cooper (2004) writes in the definition of human resource improvement. Human resource improvement is related to the readiness to learn and develop training opportunities to improve individual and group performance and organizational improvement. According to Lee (1996), improvement is a natural process of professional growth in which employees gradually take on self-confidence, reaching new perspectives, increasing knowledge, discovering new methods, and playing new methods. Lee considers employee improvement to depend on three things: 1) knowledge, experience and personality of the employee, 2) work environment and 3) professional contacts and discussions outside the workplace. He also considers employee improvement as the result of three factors: the first factor is the individual factor that is the result of continuous personal learning, the second factor is the institutional factor that reflects the interactions in the workplace between employees and the subject of work and the third factor is the management factor.

Teachers are one of the most important pillars in the structure of education and their quantitative and qualitative decline has a direct impact on the goals and performance of education. Therefore, in order for teachers to be able to perform their professional, specialized and educational tasks better, it is necessary to provide them with the opportunity to benefit from new trainings and new skills (Kraut et al., 2016). It was indicated that the issue of assimilation of school spending plans, evenhanded appropriation of school accreditations, and educator issues were significant things that should have been settled right away (Nuryana et al., 2020).

Teacher improvement occurs as a continuous activity by preparing conditions and anticipating specific mechanisms. The success of this activity, which includes the continuous process of development and standardization of teacher competencies, depends more than anything on the active and spontaneous presence of the teacher (Chalmers & Gardiner. 2015). Improving the nature of instructors in youth schooling programs (PAUD) Anak Saleh through inner workshops and courses that are held each Saturday was finished (Sa'dullah & Hidayatullah. 2020).

Vocational improvement and teacher empowerment is one of the most important issues that is directly and closely related to learners' learning and is faced by educational systems in different countries today. Certainly, the more capable teachers are in their profession, the more successful they are in their teaching and teaching, and the more they learn. Improving the teaching profession is "processes and activities designed to increase the knowledge, skills and attitudes of the teaching profession so that they can improve student learning" (Guskey. 1986). A structure outlining the arrangement of instructive human asset the board practices to an educator execution competency

model, which thusly is lined up with understudy accomplishment objectives is introduced (Heneman & Milanowski. 2004).

Engstrom et al., (2012) also define teacher improvement as "any organized, formal or informal program that seeks to help teachers improve the quality of teaching." They see teacher improvement as the continuous learning of teachers as professionals. Utilizing vignettes from three primary schools in the Chicago Annenberg Research Project, what the schools' essential utilization of HRM rehearses meant for their capacity to change training rehearses was inspected (Smylie & Wenzel. 2006). The recipe for compelling human asset the board measures at the essential instruction level was examined (Sukawati et al., 2020).

Richter et al., (2011) consider teacher improvement to be a long-term activity that ranges from teacher training at the university to in-service courses during employment and individual study. It was indicated that engaging school assets is expanding HR, particularly educators and understudies, school office and foundation in improving school quality, and elective approaches to improve the nature of training by improving quality instructor training, expanding showing hours for instructors who need showing hours, adding school framework required by utilizing BOS reserves and expanding training advancement in rural zones (Romlah, & Latief).

Implementing a teacher improvement system has many effects and achievements that can be expressed in three dimensions: individual, organizational and social. Its personal benefits include raising the morale and self-confidence of the teacher, providing professional advancement, increasing efficiency, increasing the sense of job security in terms of strengthening competencies, performing the task optimally, strong and stable job satisfaction, increasing salaries and benefits. Organizational dimension includes more interest, less absenteeism, achieving goals, less transfer and transfer, strengthening organizational sense of belonging and commitment. In the social dimension, defending the prestige and credibility of the organization, helping to prevent the occurrence of social anomalies, helping the durability and strength of the family center, etc.

In this regard, Grau et al., (2017), in their research found that school-university participation in educational and research categories is effective on teacher improvement. He et al., (2017) also found in their research that sending teachers to other countries for education and research has a significant effect on their cultural and educational improvement. The final model was designed in four parts: philosophy and goals, dimensions and components, implementation process and model output. In addition, according to the results of the research, in the dimensions and components section, the value of the goodness-of-fit index was 0.97 and the value of the mean square index of estimation error was estimated to be 0.048, and the total validity of the model was approved by experts. Kherdeghir, Askari and Ranjbar (2016) in a study examined the indicators of human resource improvement and its effect on the effectiveness of the staff of the General Endowment and Charity Department of Fars Province. The results of this study generally showed that human resource improvement indicators affect the effectiveness of staff performance. There is also a significant relationship between the components of participation, needs assessment, implementation and evaluation with the effectiveness of employee performance.

A look at the effects and benefits of staff training shows that the organization, without designing and implementing an accurate system of growth and improvement of its human resources, valuable opportunities to keep pace with change, meet new professional needs, organizational effectiveness, improve job performance and the possibility of success in competitive conditions. Loses.

Undoubtedly, the present age is the age of organizations, and the custodians of these organizations are human beings. Human resources are the most valuable and valuable resource of an organization; Effective and useful use of other resources such as technology, capital and environment depends on having specialized and committed human resources. All governmental and non-governmental organizations need specialized, experienced and trained people to achieve their goals, mission and mission. Therefore, training and development of human resources in the human resource management system, not only plays an important role in creating special knowledge and skills in employees, but also causes people to contribute to improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the organization and adapt to environmental pressures.

Accordingly, changes in the workplace today have forced organizations to rethink their systems in order to survive in today's turbulent world, and employee empowerment should be considered as one of the main tools related to the performance of organizations.

Today, teacher improvement has become one of the biggest challenges for education. The lack of knowledge and skills required by teachers in the formal education system and the gap between academic learning and the classroom further highlights the need to improve and develop teachers' careers during their service. In fact, teacher improvement is a continuum that begins before service and continues with training, supervision, supervision and support of officials during service.

Also because of the consequences that teacher improvement for the education system and society, such as lower quality of education, student weakness and lack of inclination to become a teacher, etc., and how education can be improved through effective educational programs to improve teachers. This research examines elementary human resource improvement programs in the city of Salmas.

- 1. There is a significant difference between the indicators of the current state of improvement and the importance of improvement
- 2. There is a difference between the opinions of the subjects regarding the indicators of the current situation and the importance of the improvement program in terms of gender
- 3. There is a difference between the opinions of the studied people regarding the indicators of the current situation and the importance of the improvement program in terms of education leve.
- 4. There is a difference between the opinions of the studied people regarding the indicators of the current situation and the importance of the improvement program in terms of age
- 5. There is a difference between the opinions of the studied people regarding the indicators of the current situation and the importance of the improvement program in terms of service history.

2. Methodology

This research is applied in terms of purpose and descriptive-survey data collection method. The validity of the questionnaire was confirmed by professors and experts, and the reliability of the questionnaire was 0.88 using the Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the status index of the improvement program and 0.90 for the importance index of the improvement program. The statistical population of the study includes all primary school teachers in Salmas in the academic year 1397-98 with a number of 1150 people. A sample of 285 people was selected using Morgan table using simple random sampling method. SPSS.V.22 software was used to analyze the collected data through paired t-test, independent t-test and one-way analysis of variance.

3. Results

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to check the normality of the distribution of scores of variables. The results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test are given in Table (1).

Table (1): Results of Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to check the normality of the distribution of variables

Variable	The significance level	Statistics Z Kolmogorov-Smirnov	Number
Improve the current	0.082	1.293	285
situation			
Current status of	0.078	1.302	285
teacher participation in			
improvement programs			
Current status of needs	0.073	1.315	285
assessment and			
educational planning			
Current status of	0.070	1.326	285
implementation and			
evaluation			
The importance of	0.100	1.388	285
improvement			
The importance of	0.081	1.294	285
teachers' participation			
in improvement			
programs			
The importance of	0.062	1.334	285
needs assessment and			
educational planning			
The importance of	0.092	1.284	285
implementation and			
evaluation			

According to the results of Table (1) in the field of significance levels obtained, it is concluded that all variables have a normal distribution (p < 0.05).

Hypothesis 1: There is a difference between the indicators of the current state of improvement and the importance of improvement.

Paired t-test was used to test this hypothesis. The results of paired t-test are presented in Table (2).

Table (2): Paired t-test results to compare the current situation and the importance of improvement indicators

Variable	The significance level	Degrees of freedom	The amount of t	Standard deviation	Average	Number	
Improvement	0.001	284	-67.69	0.38	1.74	285	Current

				0.35	4.33	285	Significance
Teachers' participation in	0.001	284	-54.89	0.49	1.75	285	Current situation
improvement programs				0.44	4.37	285	Significance
Needs assessment and	0.001	284	-61.76	0.44	1.74	285	Current situation
educational planning				0.39	4.32	285	Current situation
Implementation	0.001	284	-67.91	0.40	1.72	285	Significance
and evaluation				0.39	4.32	285	Current situation

According to the results of Table (2), it is observed that in general, improvement and indicators of teachers' participation in improvement programs, needs assessment and educational planning, and implementation and evaluation of the current situation are significantly less important (p <0.05).

Hypothesis 2: There is a difference between the opinions of the subjects regarding the indicators of the current situation and the importance of the improvement program in terms of gender.

Independent t-test was used to test this hypothesis. The results of the independent t-test are presented in Table (3).

Table (3): Results of independent t-test to compare the current situation and the importance of improvement indicators for male and female teachers

Variable	The significance	Degrees of freedom	The value	Standard deviation	Average	Number	Gender
	level		of t				
Improve the	0.981	283	-0.02	0.39	1.74	151	Female
current situation				0.37	1.74	134	Man
Current status	0.513	283	-0.66	0.49	1.73	151	Female
of teacher participation in rehabilitation				0.49	1.77	134	Man
programs							
Current status	0.838	283	-0.20	0.46	1.73	151	Female
of needs assessment and educational				0.43	1.74	134	Man
planning							
Current status	0.407	283	0.83	0.43	1.74	151	Female
of implementation and evaluation				0.36	1.70	134	Man

The importance	0.883	283	-0.15	0.37	4.33	151	Female
of improvement				0.32	4.34	134	Man
The importance	0.937	283	-0.08	0.48	4.37	151	Female
of teachers' participation in				0.40	4.37	134	Man
improvement							
programs The importance	0.534	283	-0.62	0.42	4.30	151	Female
of needs assessment and				0.36	4.33	134	Man
educational							
planning							
The importance	0.866	283	0.17	0.41	4.33	151	Female
of				0.37	4.32	134	Man
implementation				0.37	4.32	134	iviali
and evaluation							

According to the results of Table (3), it is observed that in general improvement and indicators of teachers' participation in improvement programs, needs assessment and educational planning and implementation and evaluation are not significantly different in the current situation and in the degree of importance in terms of gender (p < 0.05).

Hypothesis 3: There is a difference between the opinions of the subjects regarding the indicators of the current situation and the importance of the improvement program in terms of education level.

One-way analysis of variance was used to test this hypothesis. The results are presented in Table (4).

Table (4): Results of one-way analysis of variance to compare the current situation and the importance of teacher improvement indicators based on education level

The dependent	Level of	The	The	Duncan	Standard	Average	Number
variable	Education	significance	value	test result	deviation		
		level	of F				
The dependent	Associate	0.013	3.628	A	0.53	1.97	13
variable	Degree						
	Bachelor			В	0.41	1.77	141
	MA			В	0.32	1.66	109
	P.H.D			В	0.28	1.75	22
Current status	Associate	0.007	4.415	A	0.56	2.01	13
of teacher	Degree						
participation in	Bachelor			AB	0.50	1.79	141
rehabilitation	MA			AB	0.45	1.64	109
programs	P.H.D			В	0.53	1.89	22
Current status	Associate	0.049	2.646	A	0.57	2.00	13
of needs	Degree						
assessment and	Bachelor			В	0.49	1.77	141
educational	MA			В	0.38	1.68	109
planning	P.H.D			В	0.29	1.64	22
Current status	Associate	0.121	1.958	-	0.57	1.88	13

of	Degree						
implementation	Bachelor			-	0.43	1.76	141
and evaluation	MA			-	0.33	1.66	109
	P.H.D			-	0.36	1.73	22
The importance	Associate	0.002	5.198	В	0.53	4.09	13
of	Degree						
improvement	Bachelor			A	0.37	4.30	141
	MA			A	0.28	4.42	109
	P.H.D			A	0.21	4.31	22
The	Associate	0.001	5.551	В	0.55	4.10	13
importance of	Degree						
teachers'	Bachelor			AB	0.48	4.31	141
participation	MA			AB	0.35	4.49	109
in	P.H.D			A	0.38	4.32	22
improvement							
programs							
The importance	Associate	0.013	3.664	В	0.58	4.05	13
of needs	Degree						
assessment and	Bachelor			A	0.42	4.28	141
educational	MA			A	0.33	4.38	109
planning	P.H.D			A	0.29	4.36	22
The importance	Associate	0.043	2.748	В	0.49	4.16	13
of	Degree						
implementation	Bachelor			AB	0.42	4.29	141
and evaluation	MA			A	0.34	4.40	109
	P.H.D			AB	0.32	4.24	22

Common letters indicate non-significance and non-common letters indicate significance at the 5% level.

According to the results of Table (4), it is observed that the current situation of improvement, the current situation of teachers 'participation in improvement programs, the current situation of needs assessment and educational planning, the importance of improvement, the importance of teachers' participation in improvement programs, the importance of needs assessment and educational planning, The importance of implementation and evaluation in terms of education level are significantly different (p < 0.05).

However, the current situation of implementation and evaluation is not significantly different in terms of education level (p < 0.05).

Hypothesis 4: There is a difference between the opinions of the subjects regarding the indicators of the current situation and the importance of the improvement program in terms of age.

One-way analysis of variance was used to test this hypothesis. The results are presented in Table (5).

Table (5): Results of one-way analysis of variance test to compare the current situation and the importance of improvement indicators based on teachers' age

The dependent variable	The significance level	The value of F	Duncan test result	Standard deviation	Average	Number	Age
Improve the current	0.001	7.268	A	0.60	2.28	16	25 years and less

situation			В	0.37	1.69	39	26-30
			В	0.22	1.68	54	years 31-35
							years
			В	0.38	1.78	74	36-40
			В	0.42	1.65	38	years 41-45
							years
			В	0.28	1.67	58	46-50
			В	0.25	1.77	6	years More
			Б	0.23	1.77	U	than 50
							years
Current status	0.001	4.538	A	0.68	2.33	16	25 years
of teacher participation in			В	0.45	1.72	39	and less 26-30
rehabilitation			Б	0.43	1.72	39	years
programs			В	0.44	1.70	54	31-35
			_				years
			В	0.49	1.75	74	36-40
			В	0.50	1.63	38	years 41-45
							years
			В	0.43	1.72	58	46-50
			В	0.27	1.79	6	years More
			Ъ	0.27	1.79	U	than 50
							years
Current status	0.001	6.108	A	0.72	2.30	16	25 years
of needs assessment and			В	0.42	1.68	39	and less 26-30
educational			Б	0.42	1.00	39	years
planning			В	0.28	1.64	54	31-35
			_	0.4=			years
			В	0.47	1.81	74	36-40 years
			В	0.42	1.67	38	41-45
							years
			В	0.37	1.66	58	46-50
			В	0.29	1.77	6	years More
			Б	0.23	1.77	Ü	than 50
							years
Current status	0.001	5.513	A	0.54	2.20	16	25 years
of implementation			В	0.39	1.68	39	and less 26-30
and evaluation			Б	0.57	1.00	37	years
			В	0.28	1.71	54	31-35
			D	0.41	1 77	 4	years
			В	0.41	1.77	74	36-40 years
			В	0.45	1.61	38	41-45

			В	0.32	1.65	58	years 46-50
							years
			В	0.31	1.75	6	More
							than 50
							years
The importance	0.001	4.187	В	0.61	3.95	16	25 years
of							and less
improvement			A	0.27	4.40	39	26-30
							years
			A	0.24	4.36	54	31-35
			A	0.26	4 21	7.4	years
			A	0.36	4.31	74	36-40
			A	0.43	4.36	38	years 41-45
			71	0.15	1.50	50	years
			A	0.24	4.39	58	46-50
							years
			A	0.28	4.31	6	More
							than 50
							years
The	0.005	3.148	В	0.61	3.96	16	25 years
importance of				2.42			and less
teachers'			A	0.40	4.41	39	26-30
participation in			A	0.35	4.43	54	years
improvement			Λ	0.33	4.43	J 4	31-35
programs			A	0.46	4.34	74	years 36-40
programs			11	0.10	1.01	, .	years
			A	0.46	4.49	38	41-45
							years
			A	0.41	4.37	58	46-50
							years
			A	0.42	4.29	6	More
							than 50
The importance	0.001	4.315	В	0.67	3.87	16	years
of needs	0.001	4.313	Б	0.07	3.07	10	25 years and less
assessment and			A	0.32	4.39	39	26-30
educational							years
planning			A	0.27	4.34	54	31-35
							years
			A	0.39	4.31	74	36-40
							years
			A	0.48	4.32	38	41-45
				0.01	4.00	50	years
			A	0.31	4.38	58	46-50
			A	0.26	4.31	6	years More
			Λ	0.20	1.J1	U	than 50
							years
The importance	0.026	2.443	В	0.65	4.02	16	25 years

of					and less
implementation	A	0.33	4.39	39	26-30
and evaluation					years
	A	0.36	4.31	54	31-35
					years
	A	0.40	4.30	74	36-40
					years
	A	0.47	4.31	38	41-45
					years
	A	0.27	4.41	58	46-50
					years
	A	0.23	4.43	6	More
					than 50
					years

Common letters indicate non-significance and non-common letters indicate significance at the 5% level.

According to the results of Table (5), it is observed that the current state of improvement, the current state of teacher participation in improvement programs, the current state of needs assessment and educational planning, the current state of implementation and evaluation, the importance of improvement, the importance of teachers' participation in improvement programs, the importance.

Needs assessment and educational planning, the importance of implementation and evaluation are significantly different in terms of age (p < 0.05).

Hypothesis 5: There is a difference between the opinions of the subjects regarding the indicators of the current situation and the importance of the improvement program in terms of service history.

One-way analysis of variance was used to test this hypothesis. The results are presented in Table (6).

Table (6): Results of one-way analysis of variance test to compare the current situation and the importance of improvement indicators based on teachers' service history

The dependent variable	The significance level	The value of F	Duncan * test result	Standard deviation	Average	Number	Years of service
Improve the	0.001	6.440	A	0.60	2.18	22	5 years
current							and less
situation			В	0.39	1.75	59	6-10 years
			В	0.32	1.73	46	11-15
							years
			В	0.32	1.67	61	16-20
							years
			В	0.30	1.68	56	21-25
							years
			В	0.32	1.66	38	26-30
							years
			В	0.25	1.72	3	More than
							30 years

Current status of teacher	0.001	4.735	A	0.69	2.22	22	5 years and less
participation in			В	0.49	1.81	59	6-10 years
rehabilitation programs			В	0.45	1.69	46	11-15
			В	0.38	1.63	61	years 16-20
							years
			В	0.48	1.72	56	21-25 years
			В	0.45	1.70	38	26-30
			-	0.15	1170		years
			В	0.36	1.67	3	More than
			, ,	0.50	1.07	J	30 years
Current status	0.001	4.172	A	0.71	2.16	22	years 5
of needs	0.001	1117 =		01	0		and less
assessment and			В	0.46	1.71	59	6-10 years
educational			В	0.38	1.74	46	11-15
planning							years
r8			В	0.37	1.68	61	16-20
			-	0.07	1,00	01	years
			В	0.40	1.71	56	21-25
			_				years
			В	0.35	1.64	38	26-30
			_				years
			В	0.25	1.75	3	More than
			-	0.23	11.75	J	30 years
Current status	0.001	5.916	A	0.54	2.15	22	5 years
of							and less
implementation			В	0.39	1.74	59	6-10 years
and evaluation			В	0.41	1.76	46	11-15
							years
			В	0.38	1.66	61	16-20
							years
			В	0.29	1.61	56	21-25
							years
			В	0.34	1.65	38	26-30
							years
			В	0.22	1.75	3	More than
							30 years
The importance	0.007	3.027	В	0.56	4.06	22	5 years
of improvement							and less
			A	0.32	4.33	59	6-10 years
			A	0.34	4.32	46	11-15
							years
			A	0.34	4.39	61	16-20
							years
			A	0.28	4.36	56	21-25
							years
			A	0.27	4.38	38	26-30
							years
			A	0.09	4.15	3	More than
							30 years

The importance of teachers' participation in	0.029	2.390	В	0.59	4.07	22	5 years and less
			AB	0.44	4.36	59	6-10 years
improvement			AB	0.43	4.34	46	11-15
programs							years
			A	0.40	4.47	61	16-20
							years
			AB	0.37	4.38	56	21-25
							years
			AB	0.46	4.42	38	26-30
							years
			A	0.30	4.52	3	More than
							30 years
The	0.008	2.942	В	0.64	4.00	22	5 years
importance of							and less
needs			A	0.36	4.32	59	6-10 years
assessment and			A	0.36	4.32	46	11-15
educational							years
planning			A	0.39	4.37	61	16-20
							years
			A	0.33	4.33	56	21-25
							years
			A	0.34	4.38	38	26-30
							years
			A	0.13	4.50	3	More than
		4 400		2.42			30 years
The importance	0.136	1.639	-	0.62	4.11	22	5 years
of				0.00	4.00	50	and less
implementation			-	0.39	4.32	59	6-10 years
and evaluation			-	0.38	4.30	46	11-15
				0.40	4 22	<i>C</i> 1	years
			-	0.40	4.33	61	16-20
				0.21	4.20	E.C	years
			-	0.31	4.39	56	21-25
				0.30	4.36	38	years 26-30
			-	0.50	4.30	30	
			_	0.13	4.50	3	years More than
			=	0.13	T.JU	J	30 years
							50 years

Common letters indicate non-significance and non-common letters indicate significance at the 5% level.

According to the results of Table (6), it is observed that the current state of improvement, the current state of teacher participation in improvement programs, the current state of needs assessment and educational planning, the current state of implementation and evaluation, the importance of improvement, the importance of teachers' participation in improvement programs, the importance of Needs assessment and educational planning are significantly different in terms of service history (p <0.05). However, the importance of implementation and evaluation in terms of service history is not significantly different (p<0.05).

4. Conclusion

Human resource development and productivity increase as a necessity to improve the standard of living of human beings and the development of more prosperous societies, as well as the development, protection and success of human beings has always been a lofty goal for all governments and organizations. There is a direct reason why most organizations try to place their human resources at a high level of capability in order to be able to operate in the global arena as a competitor.

The results of the present study showed that there is a significant difference between the indicators of the current state of improvement (teachers' participation in improvement programs, needs assessment, educational planning and implementation and evaluation) and the importance of improvement. It can be said that teacher-training courses play an important role in the quality of each country's education system, but no course can explain teachers in a way that equips them for 30 years of service and does not require training and improvement. Therefore, teachers in rehabilitation courses must be equipped with the minimum competencies and skills necessary to understand the heavy responsibility they have taken on, in addition to taking on the task of educating students, to provide growth commensurate with the needs of their profession. Therefore, due to the increasing development of new tools effective in improving staff performance, teachers must be equipped with up-to-date knowledge in order to achieve professional skills and train literate and effective citizens in society.

The results showed that in general, improvement and indicators of teachers' participation in improvement programs, needs assessment and educational planning and implementation and evaluation are not significantly different in the current situation and in the degree of importance in terms of gender. Therefore, it can be said that the gender of teachers is not an obstacle to teachers' participation in the rehabilitation program. Male and female teachers have almost the same opinion about the implementation of rehabilitation programs. Today, due to the high importance of teachers' efficiency, more attention is paid to the skills and abilities of individuals in education, and the selection of such people is one of the biggest challenges for education. In addition, according to the research results, there is a significant difference between the opinions of the studied people regarding the indicators of the current situation and the importance of the improvement program in terms of age and service history, and in terms of education level other than the current situation of implementation and evaluation. This shows that the age, service history and education of teachers significantly influence their views on improvement programs, and perhaps the factors that make a difference in the above three cases can be introduced experience, the amount of knowledge of teachers and...

5. Suggestions

According to the results obtained from this research, the following practical suggestions are presented:

- 1- During the activity of teachers, holding courses under the title of increasing the level of teachers' efficiency and equipping them with up-to-date knowledge can increase their level of efficiency.
- 2- Paying attention to the professional and personal needs of teachers can also be a factor in moving in the direction of improvement programs.
 - 3-Considering that the results of the research showed that the indicators of human resource

improvement have a significant effect on the effectiveness of teachers' performance, in order to maintain and sustain this effect, it is necessary to consider special trainings and programs

- 4- Paying attention to teachers' opinions and criticisms about job facilities, working hours, job benefits and anything that causes their dissatisfaction.
- 5- Designing mechanisms for evaluating teachers' performance and creating opportunities for promotion and reward according to their performance.

References

- Baruch, y. (2003). Self-performance appraisal via direct- manager appraisal: a case of congruence, Journal of managerial phycology, 11(6), 50-65. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683949610129758
- Chalmers, D., Gardiner, D. (2015). An evaluation framework for identifying the effectiveness and impact of academic teacher development programmes. Studies in Educational Evaluation, 46, 81-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2015.02.002
- Cooper, R. A, (2004), Public police and human resource development. Seminar of 19 th, November.
- Engstrom, S., Gustafsson, P., & Svenson, A. (2012). Education for sustainable development and the relation to learning for entrepreneurship in the national technology program in the Swedish upper secondary school is it a "happy couple" Paper presented at the IOSTE XV International Symposium, Yasmine Hammamet, Tunisia.
- Guskey, T. R. (1986). Staff development and the process of change. Educational Researcher, 15(5), 5-12. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X015005005
- Grau, V., Calcagni, E., Preiss, D. D., & Ortiz, D. (2017). Teachers' professional development through university—school partnerships: theoretical standpoints and evidence from two pilot studies. Cambridge Journal of Education, 47(1), 19-36. https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764X.2015.1102867
- He, Y., Lundgren, K., & Pynes, P. (2017). Impact of short-term study abroad program: Inservice teachers' development of intercultural competence and pedagogical beliefs. Teaching and Teacher Education, 66, 147-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.04.012
- Heneman III, H. G., & Milanowski, A. T. (2004). Alignment of human resource practices and teacher performance competency. Peabody Journal of Education, 79(4), 108-125. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327930pje7904-6
- Kraut, R., Chandler, T., & Hertenstein, K. (2016). The interplay of teacher training, access to resources, years of experience and professional development in tertiary ESL reading teachers' perceived self-Efficacy. Gist Education and Learning Research, 12: 132-151.
- Lee, J. (1996). Faculty Development: Opportunity and Satisfaction, National Education Associassion, Office of Higher Education
- Nuryana, Z., Nurcahyati, I., Rahman, A., Setiawan, F., & Fadillah, D. (2020). The challenges and solutions of teachers' problems to achieve education golden era. Universal Journal of Educational Research, 8(2), 583-590.
- Richter, D., Kunter, M., Klusmann, U., Ludtke, O., & Baumert, J. (2011). Professional development across the teaching career: Teachers' uptake of formal and informal learning opportunities. Teaching and teacher education, 27(1), 116-126.
- Romlah, O. Y., & Latief, S. Empowering the Quality of School Resources in Improving the Quality of Education. Bulletin of Science Education, 1(1), 37-41.
- Smylie, M. A., & Wenzel, S. A. (2006). Promoting Instructional Improvement: A Strategic Human Resource Management Perspective. Consortium on Chicago School Research.
- Sa'dullah, A., & Hidayatullah, M. F. (2020). Design Of Improving The Quality Of Human Resources Based On Islamic Schools In Anak Saleh Foundation, Malang City. Nazhruna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam, 3(2), 260-272. https://doi.org/10.31538/nzh.v3i2.740
- Sukawati, N. N., Gunawan, I., Ubaidillah, E., Maulina, S., & Santoso, F. B. (2020, November). Human Resources Management in Basic Education Schools. In second Early Childhood and Primary Childhood Education (ECPE 2020) (pp. 292-299). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.201112.052