

The Relationship Between Supervision and Teachers' Teaching Experience and its Influence on Their Classroom Decision Making in EFL Classes

Kaivan Parhoodeh^{1*}, Shariar Jalili²

¹Islamic Azad University Gillan-e-Gharb center, Gillan-e-Gharb, Iran.

²Islamic Azad University Islam Abad Branch, Islam Abad, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 Jun 2014

Received in revised form 22 Jul 2014

Accepted 8 Aug 2014

Keywords:

Supervision

Supervisor

Decision Making

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was an attempt to explore the relationship between supervision and teachers' teaching experience in the Iranian EFL teachers and its influence on their classroom decision making. **Methodology:** 74 male and female English teachers holding BA, MA, or PhD degrees participated in this survey. Classroom observation and a teachers' questionnaire were used for the purpose of the study. The findings reveal that among the less experienced teachers those who had less than five years of teaching experience were found to be more influenced by the supervision process when it came to making decisions in the classroom. **Results:** These teachers indicated the importance of supervision for their classroom improvement and their teaching skills. Teachers with six to ten years of teaching experience appeared to be the most pessimists amongst others. In addition, from the results of the qualitative analysis it can be concluded that the supervision program obviously failed to function for those teachers who had 16 years of teaching experience and more as well. **Conclusion:** In this case, the program seemed to be only a paperwork job.

1. Introduction

Supervision is commonly used in education as a tool to support understanding and development and it is one of the most challenging areas in program management. English language teaching (ELT) classroom observation has traditionally been seen as a part of teacher evaluation and supervisors are typically administrators and senior teachers. Feedback from supervisors as Glickman (1990) and Glickman et al., (2001), notes is usually unsystematic, subjective, and impressionist. Also, the relationship between observers and observees can be tense; the observers are evaluative, while the observees tend to be defensive. Classroom observations under such conditions might not help much in the observees' professional growth and development.

ELT classroom observations nowadays, however, have emphasized the need for holding more developmental than judgmental view in classroom observations. The main purpose of observations is not to judge subjectively what is good and bad teaching, but to work with the observee to explore and identify the limitations as well as the positive aspects in a class, thereby promoting the observee's critical thinking and professional growth. Such a view, as Gordon (1990) states in an in-service teacher-training program, helps teachers to "develop their own judgments of what goes on in their own classrooms, sharpen their awareness of what their pupils are doing and the interactions that take place in their classes, and heighten their ability to evaluate their own teaching practices." This implies that observation can serve as an intermediary between teachers' teaching philosophies and practices. Kimbrough & Burkett (1990), also holds that since classrooms are "where the action is", spending time looking in classrooms can enrich our understanding of language learning and teaching.

* Corresponding author: Kaivan.Parhoodeh@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24200/jsshr.vol2iss03pp51-61>

1.1. Statement of the Problem

Cooperation of knowledgeable and experienced supervisors with language teachers to have an effective teaching is a necessity. By systematic and focused observation of classrooms, the teachers and supervisors can gain insights into the nature of classrooms and into their teaching. They can use these insights to help them become more teachers that are effective (Stimpson et al., 2000).

For many years there has always been a question in the researcher's mind as to why some EFL institutes that have an experienced supervisor and a proper observation system for their teachers are more successful. One reason might be the role of suitable supervision on teachers' attitude and decision making in EFL Classes.

The purpose of this study is to discover the relationship between supervision and teachers' teaching experience among the Iranian EFL teachers and its influence on their classroom decision making.

1.2. Significance of the Study

An appropriate observation system can help facilitate the achievement of the goals and expectations of an English teacher preparation program. Although a sufficient supervision is an important component of any teacher preparation program, little information is available about its effects on teachers' attitudes and decision making in EFL classes.

1.3. Research Questions

The present study will be an attempt to answer the following research questions:

1. Is there any relationship between supervision and teachers' teaching experience among the Iranian EFL teachers?
2. Is teachers' decision making in EFL classes influenced by classroom supervision?
3. Observation and classroom visitation

Observation is a process through which the data is collected for analysis of teacher's performance and is accompanied by a postobservation meeting with the teacher to share perceptions and reactions (Glanz, 2006). The process of observation can be done in two ways: a) the clinical process with a pre-observation data sheet completed with the help of teacher or b) the drop-in observation, with little or no prior notice.

The pre-observation data sheet for the clinical model will enable the observer and teacher to focus on important aspects of the instruction to be observed. Observation of classroom instruction is usually part of the supervisory process.

The conditions under which observations are made are very important to the teacher (Neagley & Evans, 1964). Teachers prefer to be notified prior the visit so that they can prepare their lessons. That is why clinical observation through which the teacher and the Seventy four EFL teachers participated in this study. The majority of the participants teach at Jahad Daneshgahi Language School in Kermanshah, Iran and the rest are from other English Language Institutes where teacher observation is regularly practiced (White & Daniel, 1996). The participants are 43 males and 31 females. They are between 20 to 45 years old and their teaching experience range from one year to more than 20 years divided into five groups accordingly (1-5, 6-10, 11-15, 16-20, and +20 years). In addition, five participants from these five groups of experience were randomly chosen for classroom observation procedure. The supervisors who observe and supervise the sample group regularly are also varied in their experience, gender, academic background and expertise. This diversity helps us in finding more accurate and inferable results.

2. Materials and Methods

A questionnaire was designed to collect data about the relationship between supervision and teachers' teaching experience among the Iranian EFL teachers and its influence on their classroom decision making.

Accordingly, following the first part of the questionnaire that contains demographic questions in order to gain information about the teachers' and their supervisors' academic qualification, gender, age, and teaching experience, four sections will measure different aspects of Iranian EFL teachers' attitude toward classroom supervision based on the mentioned model of attitude using Likertscale items. To be more precise, the second part measures how teachers evaluate the overall supervision program; the third part explores the teachers' beliefs and thoughts about mode of supervision and the teacher-supervisor relationship; the fourth part supervisor do the actual planning and make the preparation of the lesson before the observation session is favored by the teachers (Cogan, 1973).

Supervisors who enter the classroom are recommended to be as unobtrusive as possible (Glanz, 2006). Teachers can be repressed by the presence of the supervisor in the classroom. Some teachers feel that they will not be able to do their best if an education officer is present to evaluate their performance. In a study of supervisory behavior and teacher satisfaction by Fraser (1980), several teachers indicated that they experienced anxiety, uneasiness or resentment due to the presence of a supervisor in their classroom. According to Cogan (1973), some teachers may experience a kind of productive stimulation from the supervisor and view the observation as an opportunity to boast about their knowledge and skill and receive the supervisor's praise as a genuine reward. Thus, teachers can be inhibited or active and some may remain unaffected.

2.1. Post-Observation Conference

After the classroom visit, the supervisory conference is the most direct procedure for exchanging what the supervisor has observed in order to assist the teacher. However, most teachers prefer a few words about the observed lesson immediately after that particular lesson until when the actual conference

takes place (Cogan, 1973). Whether teachers like these conferences or not depends largely on the way they are conducted. This in turn depends on the model of supervision that is being applied. These models will be elaborated in the next sections. In the mean time we want to bring some comments about how to improve a supervisory discussion and enhance its efficiency.

2.2. Participants

asks for teachers' opinion about how the supervision program they are exposed to affects their professional growth; and finally in the fifth part teachers' reactions and behaviors towards supervision is explored. To ensure the validity of the questionnaires and the appropriateness and comprehensibility of the questionnaire items, some experts in the field will be consulted. Moreover, the reliability coefficients (Cronbach's alpha) of instruments will be estimated and the results will be reported in the next chapter.

2.3. Procedure

For the quantitative part, a number of the questionnaires were distributed among teachers in Jihad Daneshgahi Language School in Kermanshah and the rest was submitted to teachers in other language institutes across the country via email. A few teachers answered the questionnaire after or between their class times, but most teachers assigned a time for returning the questionnaire, between 3 to 5 days. Participants were asked to take positions towards 21 statements. They were explained that these positions must most closely reflect their opinion about the current classroom supervision they are exposed to. Then the collected data was tabulated to be analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 19.0 for Windows.

In addition, classroom observations were done to find how supervision influences teachers' decision making. Five teachers from different teaching experience groups (1-5, 6-10, 11-15, 16-20, and +20 years) were chosen randomly for the purpose of the study. This enables us to compare supervision methods according to the experience of the teachers as well as different teachers' behavior towards supervision.

To explore the influence of the supervision on teachers' decision making observations took place in two phases: phase one at the session of classroom supervision, and phase two the session after the results of the observation has been reported to the teachers. Observations in the second session were done based on the feedback reports that were provided by the supervisor in the first session. That is, in the second session I check if the teacher has made changes in his teaching practice and decisions that he makes in the classroom based on the feedback report of the first session.

The results of classroom observations are also analyzed and reported based on the supervisors' feedbacks in the first session. The reliability of the questionnaire was tested using Cronbach's alpha. Table 1 shows the result of the reliability test.

Table 1. Reliability Statistics of the questionnaire

<i>Cronbach's Alpha</i>	N of Items
.905	25

The Cronbach's alpha of the questionnaire was 0.905 that indicates an excellent internal consistency of the questions, therefore a reliable measure.

2.4. General Evaluation of Supervision by EFL Teachers

The initial part of the questionnaire explored the EFL teachers' general evaluation of classroom supervision according to their personal experiences. Table 2 summarizes their responses.

Table 2. Summary of Teachers' General Evaluation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Validnegative	5	6.8	6.8	6.8
neutral	44	59.5	59.5	66.2
positive	25	33.8	33.8	100.0
Total	74	100.0	100.0	

As Table 2 shows, among the 74 participants only 5 expressed negative judgments about classroom supervision. The table also shows that the majority of the teachers declared themselves to be neutral towards supervision and about 34 percent of the participants said that classroom supervision is good. Table 3 shows the descriptive statistics of teachers' responses to the first question where they were asked about the usefulness of their supervision program.

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of Teachers' responses to the First Question

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid				
disagree	7	9.5	9.5	9.5
no idea	18	24.3	24.3	33.8
agree	49	66.2	66.2	100.0
Total	74	100.0	100.0	

As Table 3 shows the majority of the participants considered their classroom supervision program useful, about 24 percent were neutral toward it and only 9.5 percent found the in progress supervision program unproductive. In the second question, teachers were requested to evaluate the necessity of their classroom supervision. Table 4 reveals the summary of their evaluation.

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics of Teachers' responses to the Second Question

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid				
disagree	15	20.3	20.3	20.3
no idea	21	28.4	28.4	48.6
agree	38	51.4	51.4	100.0
Total	74	100.0	100.0	

Table 4 shows that about half the participants found the supervision program necessary while the other half either disagreed or were on the fence. Finally, teachers were asked to rate the matter of paperwork formality of their classroom supervision and table 5 reveals the descriptive statistics of their evaluation.

Table 5. Descriptive Statistics of Teachers' responses to the Third Question

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid				
disagree	27	36.5	36.5	36.5
no idea	32	43.2	43.2	79.7
disagree	15	20.3	20.3	100.0
Total	74	100.0	100.0	

As the table suggests the teachers were not unanimous about the third question. While 36.5 percent considered their classroom supervision a matter of inevitable organizational and administrative paperwork duties, about 20 percent were in opposition to this view and the rest remained undecided.

2.5. Teachers' Perceptions of the Mode of Supervision

The next part of the questionnaire taps the EFL teachers' perceptions about the mode of supervision. In these questions the perceptions of teachers about the aims and objectives of the supervision, its influence on the teacher, and the supervisor-teacher relationship are explored. Table 6 provides us some clues to suggest possible trends and to give reasons why these teachers may develop certain attitudes towards supervision. Table 6 shows that the feeling of teachers towards supervision seems to be mixed. Their perception is mostly characterized by an apathetic feeling that is evident in the percentage of „no idea" responses (an average 20 percent of the participants were undecided in answering the questions).

Table 6. Teachers' Attitudes towards Mode of Supervision

<u>The current supervision</u> is more or less "looking for errors"	<u>Percentage</u>		
	Agree	No Idea	Disagree
	39.2	23	37.8
is authoritative rather than democratic	45.9	23	31.1
includes sharing mutual responsibilities and participation between the teacher and the supervisor	38.1	4.1	57.8
is done with the aim of control, rather than improvement	41.9	17.6	40.5
is inspection rather than a collaborative process	44.6	23	32.4
creates fear and excitement in teachers	66.2	17.6	16.2
focuses mostly on the teacher	75.7	20.3	4

Setting aside the undecided responses, comparing the views of the supporting and opposing participants gives us some clues that from teachers' point of view, the current supervisory practice is mostly characterized by inspection and evaluation. The percentage of teachers to whom the current supervision is authoritative and with the aim of inspection is higher (45.9 % and 44.6 %). For the majority of the teachers (66.2 % and 75.7 %), supervision causes fear of being penalized, focuses mostly on the teacher, and does not invite participation on the part of the teacher, indicating that the supervisor- teacher relationship is a superior-inferior kind of relationship. These interpretations are confirmed if we look at the overall evaluation of the participants in table 7.

Table 7. Summary of Teachers' Evaluation of the Mode of Supervision

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid negative	29	39.2	39.2	39.2
neutral	42	56.8	56.8	95.9
positive	3	4.1	4.1	100.0
Total	74	100.0		

As the table shows the majority of the teachers (56.8 %) were neutral towards the questions. A surprisingly low percentage (4.1 %) evaluated the mode of the current supervision they were exposed to positively and 39.2 percent were unsatisfied

2.6. Teachers' Views about Contributions of Supervision to their Growth

Another aspect of teachers' attitude toward supervision that was tapped by the questionnaire was their views about how supervision helps with their professional improvement. Table 8 deals with their responses to this part.

Table 8. Teachers' Views about Contributions of Supervision to their Growth

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Validnegative	18	24.3	24.3	24.3
neutral	25	33.8	33.8	58.1
positive	31	41.9	41.9	100.0
Total	74	100.0	100.0	

Surprisingly, the percentages of unconcerned responses in table 7 are again relatively high that seems to suggest that either the participants are indifferent towards supervision, or the supervision programs are mostly a matter of paperwork formality in the institutes that the study was implemented.

Once again due to high percentages of neutral responses, I have to put these responses aside and compare the affirmative and negative responses only. Accordingly, from the majority of teachers' point of view class supervision helps discover the teacher's shortcomings (54.9 %). In fact, more teachers find classroom supervision useful in guiding them to solve issues and help them overcome instructional problems (47.3 %). Though more teachers (37.8 %) agree that the current supervision they are exposed to enhances their teaching skills and practice, fewer of them consider the supervision a plus in their professional growth (23 %). They (32.4 %) think that the classroom supervision does not help them in their courses by providing educational materials. In addition, the supervision does not seem to be a stimulating and motivating factor to more teachers (39.2 %).

Overall, table 9 shows that though 33.8 percent of the teachers were indifferent towards what classroom supervision may or may not add to them, 41.9 percent evaluated the contribution of supervision to their professional growth positively and a lower percentage of 24.3 hold opposing positions.

2.7. Teachers' Manners and Reactions towards Supervision

The last aspect of teachers' attitude is how they react towards super Percentage vision. This is part attempts to explore the actual behavior of the teachers toward the supervision program they experienced. Increased my teaching skills and practice that they read the report carefully (68.9 %) and make an attempt to 37.8 29.7 32.4 utilize the feedback in improving their teaching practice (55.4 %). However, what is evident is that more teachers seem reluctant to change their teaching approach according to the feedback.

2.8. Teachers' Decision Making and Classroom Supervision

Classroom observations were done to find how supervision influences teachers' decision making (second research question). Five teachers with different teaching experiences were randomly chosen. This makes it possible to compare different teachers' behaviours and reactions towards supervision. Table 9 shows the participants information.

Table 9. Observation part participants' teaching experience

	<i>Teaching Experience</i>
<i>Participant 1</i>	<i>15 months</i>
<i>Participant 2</i>	<i>8 years</i>
<i>Participant 3</i>	<i>11 years</i>
<i>Participant 4</i>	<i>16 years</i>
<i>Participant 5</i>	<i>25 years</i>

As was explained in chapter 3 in order to explore the influence of the supervision on teachers' decision making observations took place in two phases. In the first phase the actual supervision takes place and the evaluation forms are filled by the supervisor. Table 10 summarizes the negative points that the

supervisors have made for each class separately. It is noteworthy to mention that the supervisors have mentioned the strength points of the teachers and their teaching practices however; I skip that part and focus on the criticisms and weak points for the purpose of the study.

Table 10. Supervisors' negative points

<i>Participant 1/ Class 1 15 months of teaching experience</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Teaching procedures, aids and evaluation techniques are sometimes inconsistent with the objectives: the objective of the teaching session was to teach past tense and instead of linking the new material to the previously known material the teacher used Persian language to teach grammar. <input type="checkbox"/> The teaching of grammar lacked warm-ups. <input type="checkbox"/> The class lacked group work. <input type="checkbox"/> Teacher correction was the dominant form of error correction.
Participant 2/ Class 2 8 years of teaching experience	<input type="checkbox"/> Teacher did not link the new lesson to students' personal
	<input type="checkbox"/> experiences. <input type="checkbox"/> Reading was only practiced by the teacher (the students were not asked to repeat after the audio track). <input type="checkbox"/> The class lacked the game part.
Participant 3/ Class 3 11 years of teaching experience	<input type="checkbox"/> No comment
Participant 4/ Class 4 16 years of teaching experience	<input type="checkbox"/> No comment
Participant 5/ Class 5 25 years of teaching experience	<input type="checkbox"/> No comment

The results of the qualitative analysis in the first session of observation suggest that in the first two classes that the experience of teachers was less than 10 years supervision was based on the instructional steps of a predefined teaching method that these teachers have been taught in TCC (Teacher Training Course) at the time of starting their job at the language institute. Therefore, it seemed to be an inspection and control mechanism rather than a collaborative process.

However, this was not the case for the more experienced teachers. The experienced teachers and the supervisors seem to consider the supervision a paperwork job rather than an evaluation of the class for the purpose of improving teaching and learning and did not seem to care much about it. The supervisor-teacher relationship in the classes that the teachers have more than 10 years of teaching experience was completely different. In the second phase I observed the classes comparing their present practices with what they were asked to do in the feedback report. The results are pointed out in table

11.

Table 11. Results of the comparison of the two observation sessions

	<input type="checkbox"/> The teacher did not use Persian language and appropriate warm-up activities were performed by the teacher. <input type="checkbox"/> Group work practices were performed.
Participant 1/ Class 1 15 months of teaching experience	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Peer correction was practiced by the teacher as well as the teacher correction.
Participant 2/ Class 2 8 years of teaching experience	
	<input type="checkbox"/> The lesson was not personalized again. <input type="checkbox"/> In the reading practice the students were asked to repeat after the teacher for only some parts.
	<input type="checkbox"/> The class still lacked the game part.

As table 10 and 11 reveal the only classes that received negative feedbacks were the first two classes and the second class was uninfluenced by the supervision program. In the first class the teacher did his best to follow the guidelines he was provided with and it was evident in the next session of observation. In the second class the teacher who was from the second teaching experience group (6 to 10 years) did not pay any attention to the supervisor's feedback and continued to attach to his own method of teaching.

Supervision and Teachers Decision Making

To examine the relationship between Supervision and teachers' teaching experience a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was run. The reason for using a non-parametric test was that the distribution of the data population was not normal. Table 12 demonstrates the descriptive results and table 15 shows the results of non-parametric test.

Table 12. Numbers and average ranks of teachers with different teaching experiences

Years of Experience	N	Mean Rank
1-5	20	2.5
6-10	27	1.2
11-15	7	2
16-20	14	2
+20	6	2
Total	74	

Table 13. Results of Kruskal-Wallis test with five independent groups of 1-5, 6-10, 11-15, 16-20, and +20 teaching experience

	Teachers' attitude
Chi-Square	22.443
df	4
Asymp. Sig.	.000

As Table 13 reveals, there is a statistically significant difference in teachers' attitude towards classroom supervision depending on the number of years of teaching experience, $\chi^2(4) = 22.443$, $P = 0.000$. Since there is not a post hoc option for non-parametric test in SPSS, I compared the groups two by two running Mann Whitney tests to see which pairs of groups differ significantly. Table 14 shows the results of these comparisons.

Table 14. Results of Mann-Whitney test with two independent groups of BA and MA

Groups	Mann-Whitney U	Z	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
1-5 6-10	100.500*	-3.876	.000
1-5 11-15	35.000*	-2.219	.027
1-5 16-20	89.000*	-2.073	.038
1-5 +20	39.500	-1.415	.157
6-10 11-15	56.000*	-1.827	.048
6-10 16-20	99.000*	-2.759	.006
6-10 +20	41.500*	-2.025	.043
11-15 16-20	42.000	-1.026	.305
11-15 +20	17.500	-1.080	.280
16-20 +20	41.000	-.133	.894

* The difference is significant.

According to the table, at a critical level for rejection of 0.05, teachers with 1-5 years of experience differ significantly with teachers with 6-10, 11-15, and 16-20 years of experience ($U = 100.500$, $Z = -3.876$, $p = 0.000$; $U = 35.000$, $Z = -2.219$, $p = 0.027$; and $U = 89.000$, $Z = -2.073$, $p = 0.038$).

In addition, the attitudes of teachers who have been teaching English for 6 to 10 years towards supervision are significantly different with the attitudes of those who have more than 11 years of teaching experience ($U = 56.000$, $Z = -1.827$, $p = 0.048$; $U = 99.000$, $Z = -2.759$, $p = 0.006$; and $U = 41.500$, $Z = -2.025$, $p = 0.043$).

All in all, the results reveal that the attitude of less experienced teachers towards their class supervision seems to be different from more experienced ones. A look at table 14 and the mean ranks shows that teachers who have been teaching English for less than five years appear to hold more positive attitudes

(mean rank = 2.5). Another interesting point in table 14 is that those teachers with six to ten years of teaching experience were the most pessimist amongst others (mean rank = 1.2).

3. Results and Discussion

This study was an attempt to discover Iranian EFL teachers' attitude towards supervision and its influence on classroom decision making and an account of their differences and similarities in this respect. The study also explored the relationship between teachers' teaching experience and their attitude towards classroom supervision. Below, I bring the summary of findings in separate sections according to the research questions.

3.1. Supervision and Teachers' Decision Making

The results of the qualitative analysis revealed that supervision as an educational practice with the aim of teaching and learning improvement is only meaningful for those teachers having less than 10 years of teaching experience. For the more experienced teachers it seems to be matter of official procedure and formality. The results of the second session of observation showed that only those teachers who have been teaching for less than five years were attentive to the supervisors' feedbacks and their classroom decision making was influenced by the comments the supervisors provided. In the second class whose teacher had eight years of teaching experience, however, the teacher seemed reluctant to change his method of teaching and his instructional decisions according to the feedback he was provided with. In other words, teachers of the second teaching experience group (6 to 10 years) seemed uninfluenced by the supervision program.

3.2. Supervision and teachers' Teaching Experience

The results of the quantitative analysis revealed that the influence of supervision of teachers who have been teaching English for less than five years towards their class supervision was significantly different from others. These teachers hold more positive attitudes towards supervision.

Teachers with six to ten years of teaching experience also react to supervision significantly different from other teachers. These teachers appeared to be the most pessimists amongst others.

3.3. Discussion

Among the less experienced teachers those who had less than five years of teaching experience were found to be more influenced by the supervision process when it came to making decisions in the classroom. These teachers indicated the importance of supervision for their classroom improvement and their teaching skills and appeared to hold positive attitudes towards supervision program they were experiencing. This is contrary to the cases of Kayaoglu (2012) in Turkey and Acheson & Gall 1997 in Zimbabwe. It is also contrary to the attitudes of those Iranian teachers who had teaching experience of between 5 to 10 years. These participants appeared to hold negative attitudes towards supervision. In the qualitative part of the study though the supervisor mentioned negative remarks in the observation form, the case study teacher refused to take them into account. This pessimistic view about supervision and the denial seems to be due to the fact that these teachers have enough experience to claim originality and refuse the supervision program that is biased towards evaluation or inspection. Keep in mind that the Iranian EFL teachers who participated in this study thought that the supervision program is authoritative rather than democratic in general. This calls for a change in the mode of supervision according to the characteristics of teachers and an invitation of a more equal and collaborative manner of supervision as according to Cogan (1973) one of the main factors that affect supervision efficiency is the relation of teachers to supervisors. This relationship is expected to be collegial rather than authoritarian in order to attract the teachers' positive attitude.

4. Conclusion

Supervision of instruction includes monitoring and evaluating classroom teaching practice and collecting data according to a set of predefined standards appointed by the administrator with the aim of providing appropriate feedback and guidance to teachers to improve teaching in order to enhance student learning. However, as the results of the presents study shows this was not the case for all the teachers in the Iranian EFL context.

A conclusion than can be drawn from the available evidence is that the supervisory in Iran needs to take into account the capabilities and characteristics of every teacher. Not a similar model of supervision is suitable and efficient for all the teachers. In the case of this study while the particular method of supervision that was generally characterized as an error finding procedure with the aim of standardizing and control seemed to be efficient for the teachers who have had less than five years of experience it seemed ineffective for experienced teachers.

In fact the teachers who have had between 5 to 10 years of teaching experience found the program delimiting and a barrier to academic freedom. These teachers showed their disapproval by ignoring the feedback they received.

In addition, from the results of the qualitative analysis it can be concluded that the supervision program obviously failed to function for those teachers who had 16 years of teaching experience and more as well. In this case, the program seemed to be only a paperwork job. That is, instead of adapting the supervision program with these teachers and their characteristics, the administrators tried to keep up appearances.

REFERENCES

- Acheson, K. A., & Gall, M. D. 1997. *Techniques in the clinical supervision of teachers: Preservice and inservice applications* (4th Ed.). New York: Longman.
- Cogan, M. L. 1973. *Clinical supervision*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Fraser, K. 1980. Supervisory behaviour and teacher satisfaction. *Journal of Education Administration*, 18(2), 224-227.
- Glanz, J. 2006. What every principal should know about instructional leadership. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Glickman, C. D. 1990. *Supervision of instruction: A development approach*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Glickman, C. D., Gordon, S. P., & Ross-Gordon, J. M. 2001. *Supervision and instructional leadership* (5th ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Gordon, S. P. 1990. Developmental Supervision: An Exploratory Study of a Promising Model. *Journal of Curriculum and Supervision*, 5(4), 293-307.
- Kayaoglu, M. N. 2012. Dictating or Facilitating: The Supervisory Process for Language Teachers. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 37(10), 103-117.
- Kimbrough, R. B., & Burkett, C. W. 1990. *The principalship: Concepts and practices*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Neagley, R.L. & Evans, D.N. 1964. *Handbook for effective supervision of instruction*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Stimpson, P., Lopez-Real, F., Bunton, D., Chan Wal-Keung, D., Sivan, A. & Williams, M. 2000. *Better Supervision, Better Teaching: A Handbook for Teaching Practice Supervisors*. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press.
- White, B. L. & Daniel, L. G. 1996. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the mid-south educational resource center. (Report No. ED 403 641). Tuscaloosa, AL. Nov. 6-8.

How to Cite this Article:

Parhoodeh K., Jalili S., The Relationship Between Supervision and Teachers' Teaching Experience and its Influence on Their Classroom Decision Making in EFL Classes, *Uct Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research* 03 (2014) 51–61.